

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/134,453	08/14/1998	RICHARD A. GRAFF	GRAFF-P2-98	8015
/:	090 04/22/2002			
PETER K TRZYNA			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 7131 CHICAGO, IL 606807131			ROSEN, NIC	CHOLAS D
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2165	
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		P 40			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/134,453	GRAFF, RICHARD A.			
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Nicholas D. Rosen	2165			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 17 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of thi er: (1) a timely filed amendm ppeal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in			
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or I	p)]			
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da	ate of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f).	ter than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	extension and the corresponding amo tened statutory period for reply origina	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appell 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require for	urther consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicat issues for appeal; and/or	ion in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without call NOTE:	nceling a corresponding num	nber of finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) we canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendr explanation of how the new or amended claim					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ows:				
Claim(s) allowed: 76-79,102 and 103.					

Claim(s) objected to: _____. Claim(s) rejected: 1-75,80-101,104-180 and 226-257. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

Winholas D Rosen Nicholas D. Rosan

April 19, 2002
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

WYNN COGGINS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 10. Other: The examiner has no objection to changing "offering memorandum" to "offering document" in claims 57 and 58. The emendment is not believed to make the claims allowable, but would not be grounds for the rejection of otherwise allowable claims.